Doc Code: AP.PRE.REO PTO/SB/33 (07-05) Approved for use through xxxxx/200x, OMB 0651-00xx

| Docket Number (Optional)   P16507  | Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respo  |                      |                          | s it displays a valid OMB control number. |  |
|--|--|----------------------|--------------------------|---|--|
| P16507   | PRE-APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW  |                      | Docket Number (Optional) |   |  |
| United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Nati Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] and addressed to "National Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)] First Named Inventor  Vivek Jaiswal  Art Unit Examiner  2141 Chizag R. Patel  Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filled with this request.  This request is being filled with a notice of appeal.  The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).  Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.  I am the applicant/inventor.  applicant/inventor.  applicant/inventor.  Signature  Richard S. Finkelstein  Typed or printed name  (203) 972-4982  Telephone number  Actioney or agent of record.  Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration in number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34  Registration i |  |                      | P16507                   |   |  |
| in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" [37 CFR 1.8(a)]  on   | United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to "Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for | Application I        | Number                   | Filed                                     |  |
| Signature  |  | 10/643               | 2,702                    | 08/18/2003                                |  |
| Art Unit 2141 Examiner  Typed or printed name  Art Unit 2141 Chirag R. Pate1  Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.  This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.  The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).  Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.  Lam the Applicant/inventor.  assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 oFR 3.71. Statement under 37 oFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB09)  attorney or agent of record.  Registration number Agent acting under 37 oFR 1.34.  Registration number I acting under 37 oFR 1.34.  Registration number I discing under 37 oFR 1.34.  Registration numbe | on   | First Named Inventor |                          |   |  |
| Typed or printed name 2141 Chirag R. Pate1  Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.  This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.  The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).  Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.  I am the applicant/inventor.  applicant/inventor.  assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 OFR 3.71. Statement under 37 OFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.  (Form PTO/SB/06)  attorney or agent of record. Registration number  Typed or printed name  November 16, 2007  Registration number if acting under 37 OFR 1.34 Registration number if act | Signature  | Vivek Jaiswal        |                          |   |  |
| Applicant requests review of the final rejection in the above-identified application. No amendments are being filed with this request.  This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.  The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).  Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.  I am the  applicant/inventor.  assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 OFR 3.71 Statement under 37 OFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB09)  attorney or agent of record. Registration number of acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Registration number of acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Registration number if more than one signature is required, see below.   |  | Art Unit             | •                        | Examiner                                  |  |
| with this request.  This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.  The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).  Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.  I am the  applicant/invenior.  assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 OFR 3.71. Statement under 37 OFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/86)  attorney or agent of record. Registration number  attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number of a disting under 37 CFR 1.34.  Registr |  | 2141                 |                          | Chirag R. Patel                           |  |
| The review is requested for the reason(s) stated on the attached sheet(s).  Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.  I am the  applicant/inventor.  assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 OFR 3.71. Statement under 37 OFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/86)  attorney or agent of record.  Registration number  attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number of a ucting under 37 CFR 1.34.  Regist |  |                      |                          |   |  |
| Note: No more than five (5) pages may be provided.  I am the  applicant/inventor.  assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/SB/86)  attorney or agent of record. Registration number  attorney or agent of record. Registration number  Attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34. See Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.  | This request is being filed with a notice of appeal.   |                      |                          |   |  |
| applicant/inventor.    Applicant/inventor.   |  |                      |                          |   |  |
| assignee of record of the entire interest. See 37 GFR 3.71 (Statement under 37 GFR 3.73(b) is enclosed. (Form PTO/S8/96)  attorney or agent of record. Registration number  attorney or agent acting under 37 GFR 1.34. Registration number if acting under 37 GFR 1.34.  November 16, 2007  Date  NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.   | I am the   |                      |                          |   |  |
| See 37 CFR 3.71. Statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) is enclosed.  (Form PTO/SB96)  attorney or agent of record.  Registration number (203) 972-4982  Telephone number   attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.  Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34.  November 16, 2007  Date  NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire inferest or their representative(s) are required.  Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.   | applicant/inventor.  | _/R:                 | ichard S.                |   |  |
| (Form PTO/SB09) Typed or printed name attorney or agent of record. Registration number  It attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.   |  | R                    | ichard S.                | Finkelstein                               |  |
| Registration number    X   attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34  |  |                      | Typed or printed name    |   |  |
| attorney or agent acting under 37 CFR 1.34.  Regularation number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34.  NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire inferest or their representative(s) are required.  Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.  |  | (2                   | 03) 972-4                | 982                                       |  |
| Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 56 , 534 Date  NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire inferest or their representative(s) are required. Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below."  | Congression Constraint   |                      | Tel                      | ephone number                             |  |
| NOTE: Signatures of all the inventors or assignees of record of the entire interest or their representative(s) are required.  Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below.   |  | November 16, 2007    |                          |   |  |
| Submit multiple forms if more than one signature is required, see below*.  | Registration number if acting under 37 CFR 1.34 56,534   | Date                 |                          |   |  |
| *Total of forms are submitted.   |  |                      |                          |   |  |
|  | *Total of torms are submitted  |                      |                          |   |  |

This collection of information is required by 35 U.S.C. 132. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confederately is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11, 1.14 and 41.5. This policyline is entimated to take 12 minutes in complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

#### Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the attached form related to a patent application or patent. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that: (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) furnishing of the information solicited is voluntary; and (3) the principal purpose for which the information is used by the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office is to process and/or examine your submission related to a patent application or patent. If you do not furnish the requested information, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office may not be able to process and/or examine your submission, which may result in termination of proceedings or abandonment of the application or expiration of the patent.

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether disclosure of these records is required by the Freedom of Information Act.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement neototations.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record pertains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, bursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(m).
- A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records may be discloseed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
- A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
- 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or his/her designee, during an inspection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 44 U.S.C. 2904 and 2906. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA regulations governing inspection of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- 8. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the application pursuant to 35 U.S. C. 122(b) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filled in an application which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a published application, an application open to public inspection or an issued patent.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal. State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or reculation.

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

|   | Confirmation No.: 4365  |
|---|---|
|   | ) Group Art Unit: 2141  |
| Appellants: Vivek Jaiswal et. al.  Application No.: 10/642,702  Filing Date: 08/18/2003 | ) Examiner: Patel, Chirag R ) ARGUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF PRE- APPEAL BRIEF REQUEST FOR REVIEW )  |
| For: DYNAMIC LOAD DISTRIBUTION WITHIN A SESSION INITIATION PROTOCOL NETWORK             | ) Attorney Docket No.: P16507 ) PTO Customer Number 28062 ) Buckley, Maschoff & Talwalkar LLC ) Attorneys for Intel Corporation ) 50 Locust Avenue ) New Canaan, CT 06840 |

Mail Stop AF (via EFS) Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Appellants submit the following arguments in support of the accompanying Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review.

Arguments begin on page 2 of this paper.

#### ARGUMENTS

Appellants raise the following arguments as evidence of clear error in the outstanding final rejection of independent claims 1, 7, 14, and 19. Independent claims 1, 7, 14, and 19 each recite a Q-value where the Q-value is an integer value based on both (1) a contact priority and (2) a number of calls or an amount of information being processed for a call.

Claims 1, 7, 14, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being anticipated by US Patent No. 7,197,556 ("Ton") in view of US Patent No. 6,790,445 ("O'Neill") and US Patent Application No. 2002/0141343 ("Bays"). However, the art of record is not seen to disclose or to suggest a Q-value for a first node where the Q-value is an integer value based on both (1) a contact priority and (2) a number of calls or an amount of information being processed for a call, and transmitting the Q-value to a second node via one or more load brokers where each load broker is a back-to-back user agent.

A. THE PRIOR ART FAILS TO DISCLOSE OR SUGGEST A Q-VALUE WHERE
THE Q-VALUE IS AN INTEGER VALUE BASED ON BOTH (I) A CONTACT PRIORITY
AND (2) A NUMBER OF CALLS OR AN AMOUNT OF INFORMATION BEING
PROCESSED FOR A CALL

The Final Office Action concedes that Ton "fails to disclose a session initiation protocol and where the Q-value is an integer value based on both (1) a contact priority and (2) a number of calls or an amount of information being processed for a call." However, the Final Office Action states that "Bays discloses where the Q-value is an integer valued based on 1) contact priority. ([0053])" Appellants respectfully disagree.

At [0053], Bays discloses a fill priority that is defined as "an arbitrary integer value that specifies in what sequence a set of tiers should be utilized." The aforementioned tiers are defined in [0053] as a "pricing tier that consists of a tier number, peak level, billing type, billing rate (in

dollars)... and a fill priority for the given tier." Therefore, Bays discloses a priority associated with billing tiers but cannot be seen to disclose a contact priority.

Moreover, the Final Office Action fails to disclose BOTH (1) a contact priority and (2) a number of calls or an amount of information being processed for a call. The Office Action states that "(2) a number of calls or an amount of information being processed for a call" is disclosed in [0042] of Ton because "the load information could be the number of mobility bindings (i.e. the number of registered Mobile Nodes)". Appellants respectfully disagree because the Final Office Action fails to address the language of the claim. Specifically, the Final Office Action fails to address disclosing "a number of calls or an amount of information being processed for a call".

Ton discloses a Home Agent ("HA") that exchanges load information with other HAs. Specifically, Ton, at [0042], discloses that the load information "could be the number of mobility bindings and/or the CPU usage on the HA" but nowhere does Ton disclose that the load information comprises either a number of calls or an amount of information being processed for a call

As stated in M.P.E.P §2143 "the prior art reference (or references when combined) must teach or suggest all the claim limitations." Since the Final Office Action fails to disclose BOTH (1) a contact priority and (2) a number of calls or an amount of information being processed for a call, the rejection under §103(a) is improper for at least this reason.

# B. THE PRIOR ART FAILS TO DISCLOSE OR SUGGEST TRANSMITTING A Q-VALUE TO A NODE VIA ONE OR MORE LOAD BROKERS WHERE EACH LOAD BROKER IS A BACK-TO-BACK USER AGENT.

Ton, at [0042] discloses that the HAs receive all HA IP addresses on the network, and the IP addresses are stored locally by each HA. Furthermore, each HA will communicate with other HAs by using new messages to exchange load information with the other HAs. Since the HAs exchange load information directly (and not through an intermediary), Ton does not disclose the HAs exchanging load information via a load broker.

Application Serial No.: 10/642,702 Arguments In Support of Pre-Appeal Brief Request For Review

In view of the foregoing, nowhere can Ton be seen to disclose or to suggest transmitting a Q-value to a second node via one or more load brokers where each load broker is a back-to-back user agent. The remaining art is not seen to disclose or suggest the aforementioned deficiencies in Ton. Therefore, the rejection under §103(a) is improper for at least this reason.

### CONCLUSION

For at least the reasons given above, it is submitted that the final rejection of the application is improper and should be withdrawn. If any questions arise regarding the application or any of the cited references, or if the panel has suggestions for expediting allowance of the application, the panel is kindly invited to contact the undersigned via telephone at (203) 972-4982.

Respectfully submitted,

November 16, 2007 Date /Richard S. Finkelstein/
Richard S. Finkelstein
Registration No. 56,534
Buckley, Maschoff & Talwalkar LLC
Attorneys for Intel Corporation
50 Locust Avenue
New Canaan, CT 06840
(203) 972-4982